## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

SALLY HODGSON,

Civil No. 05-1099-HU

Plaintiff,

v. ORDER

JO ANNE B. BARNHART, COMMISSIONER, SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION,

Defendant.

MARSH, Judge.

Magistrate Judge Dennis James Hubel filed his Findings and Recommendation on May 23, 2006. The matter is now before me. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Fed. R. Civ P. 72(b). No objections have been timely filed. This relieves me of my obligation to give the factual findings de novo review. See §636(b)(1)(C); Simpson v. Lear Astronics Corp., 77 F.3d 1170, 1174-5 (9th Cir. 1996). Having reviewed the legal principles de novo, I find no error.

Accordingly, I ADOPT Magistrate Judge Hubel's Findings and Recommendation #13. This case is remanded to the Commissioner again for development of the record and a decision limited to the issue of whether, during the period for which she alleges disability, Ms.

Hodgson suffered from anxiety disorder as a separate, diagnosed impairment and, if so, whether it constituted a severe impairment. If the Commissioner finds that anxiety disorder constituted a severe impairment, the Commissioner is directed to make specific findings on Ms. Hodgson's residual functional capacity based on the existence of that severe impairment. Ignoring this court's order a second time should preclude the Commissioner from disputing Ms. Hodgson's closed period disability claim. If the Commissioner fails yet again to develop this aspect of the record, the anxiety disorder should be presumed to be present, severe, and disabiling for the closed period of disability.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 21 day of June, 2006.

/s/ Malcolm F. Marsh
Malcolm F. Marsh
United States District Judge